

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,
Plaintiff,
v.
MICHAEL MARCUM,
Defendant.

No. 1:22-cr-00164-NODJ BAM
ORDER DIRECTING THE FEDERAL
DEFENDER'S OFFICE TO RESPOND TO
THE COURT'S ORDER OF OCTOBER 10,
2023
(Doc. No. 48, 49)

On October 6, 2023, defendant Marcum filed a pro se motion seeking a sentence reduction and compassionate release. (Doc. No. 48.) On October 10, 2023, the previously assigned district judge issued an order appointing the Federal Defender's Office to represent defendant and directing that office to file a supplement to defendant's pro se motion or a notice that it did not intend to do so. (Doc. No. 49.) That 60 day period has passed and no supplement or notice that a supplement will not be filed has been received by the court. The court notes, however, that this may have been because the appropriate Assistant Federal Defenders were not included in the CM/ECF Notice of Electronic Filing as directed by the court's prior order. Therefore, the court again directs that those attorneys be included in the CM/ECF Notice of Electronic Filing.

Accordingly, within 30 days of the date of this order, the Federal Defender's Office shall respond to the court's October 10, 2023 order. Thereafter, absent further order of the court, the government shall have 30 days from the date of the FDO's filing to file an opposition to

1 defendant's motion. Any reply shall be filed within 15 days of the filing of any opposition by the
2 government. The Clerk of Court is directed to include Assistant Federal Defenders Ann
3 McClintock (Ann_McClintock@fd.org) and Carolyn Wiggin (Carolyn_Wiggin@fd.org) in the
4 CM/ECF's Notice of Electronic Filing in this action.

5

6 IT IS SO ORDERED.

7

Dated: January 10, 2024

Dale A. Drayd

8 UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28